# EXHIBIT D

## Case 6:20-cv-00533-ADA Document 46-6 Filed 03/05/21 Page 2 of 7



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/377,578	03/17/2006	Jason Sterne	ALC 3229	5342
30868 KRAMER & A	7590 01/06/200 MADO, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1725 DUKE STREET			CHO, HONG SOL	
SUITE 240 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Case 6:20-cv-00533-ADA Document 46-6 Filed 03/05/21 Page 3 of 7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	11/377,578	STERNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN INO DATE (III	Hong Cho	2419				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2008</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) <b>☐</b> This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 11/377,578

Art Unit: 2419

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/05/08. Claims 1-36 are pending in the instant application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 and 20-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradford et al (US 20050185581), hereinafter referred to as Bradford in view of Gupta et al (US 7408876), hereinafter referred to as Gupta.

Re claims 1, 9, 17, 18, 21 and 29, Bradford discloses monitoring a depth of a queue in the queuing device, the queue for receiving packets from an upstream device within the network device, the queuing device acting as a discard point by discarding packets when the queue is full(claim 1), but fails to disclose, if the depth passes a predetermined threshold, sending a message to the upstream device to reduce a rate at

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 11/377,578

Art Unit: 2419

which packets are sent to the queuing device to prevent the queue from filling and thereby preventing packet discarding and loss by the queuing device. Gupta discloses, when an egress queue exceeds the upper queue threshold, the egress queue manager generates congestion messages to the ingress queue manager to cause the ingress queues responsible for causing the congestion to slow down the rates at which packets are dequeued to the congested egress queues (column 6, line 60 to column 7, line 15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bradford with the teaching of Gupta in implementing backpressure algorithm for the benefit of providing efficient utilization of queues as suggested by Bradford.

Re claims 2, 10, 22 and 30, Bradford discloses increasing the rate at which packets are sent to the queuing device if the depth drops below the predetermined threshold, sending a message to the upstream device (paragraph [0008]).

Re claims 3, 11, 23 and 31, Bradford discloses sending a message reporting the depth of the queue to the upstream device to thereby enable the upstream device to determine whether to reduce or increase the rate at which it sends packets to the queuing device (claim 3).

Re claims 4, 12, 24 and 32, Bradford discloses comparing a rate at which packets enter the queuing device to a rate at which packets exit the queuing device (paragraph [0007]).

Re claims 5, 13, 25 and 33, Bradford discloses the network device is a router, switch, or gateway (figure 8, element 910).

Application/Control Number: 11/377,578

Art Unit: 2419

Re claims 6, 7, 14, 15, 20, 26, 27, 34 and 35, Bradford discloses a queuing device

Page 4

is a network processor or traffic manager (claim 7).

Re claims 8, 16, 28 and 36, Bradford discloses the packets are at least one of

Internet Protocol ("IP") packets, multiprotocol label switching ("MPLS") packets,

asynchronous transfer mode ("ATM") packets, and frame relay packets (paragraph

[0031]). Routers route IP packets.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradford in view

of Gupta and further in view of Assa et al (US 20020163885), hereinafter referred to as

Assa.

Re claim 19, Bradford discloses all of the limitations of the base claim, but fails to

disclose implementing the system with a field programmable gate array (FPGA) within

the network device. Assa discloses implementing in a logic gate system such as ASIC or

FPGA (paragraph [0010]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Bradford with the teaching

of Assa in implementing the system with a field programmable gate array (FPGA) within

the network device for the benefit of limiting cost and complexity and increasing

processing speed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view 4.

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 11/377,578

Art Unit: 2419

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hong Cho/

Hong Cho

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2419

12/31/2008